Abstract: We assessed the stability of orthodontic mini-implants in young rats. Male rats with mean ages of 6 weeks (n = 16) and 20 weeks (n = 16) were divided into four groups (n = 8 each). In the 6- and 20-week immediate-loading groups, immediately after placement, mini-implants were exposed to an experimental traction force for 2 weeks. In the 6- and 20-week healing groups, the force was applied for 2 weeks after a 6-week healing period. Right tibiae served as the test limbs and the left tibiae as controls. A Periotest device was used to measure mini-implant mobility after traction, and Tukey’s test was used to compare Periotest values among groups. The results showed significantly greater mobility in the 6-week immediate-loading group than in the 20-week immediate-loading and 6- and 20-week healing groups, and significantly less mobility in the 6-week healing group than in the 20-week immediate-loading group (P < 0.05). Mini-implants were stable during the healing period. The results indicate that mini-implants can be used for orthodontic anchorage in juvenile patients if the duration of healing is sufficient.
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Introduction

In orthodontic treatment, mini-implants placed in alveolar bone have been used as skeletal anchorage and have improved treatment outcomes (1-4). Mini-implants that are able to endure immediate loading have been developed and used clinically (5,6). However, these mini-implants sometimes show mobility and failure during orthodontic treatment (7,8), especially in adolescents, although mini-implants are often required in such patients (9).

The success rate for immediate loading of mini-implants in adolescent patients was 60-80% in one study (10). The stability of mini-implants is related to clinical factors such as oral hygiene (11), the degree of inflammation accompanying local irritation, excessive orthodontic force (7), the design and shape of the screw thread (12), and the proximity of the mini-implant to the roots of adjacent teeth (13). However, low success rates are most likely related to active bone metabolism during growth and to the low rate of bone maturation. Despite the increasing number of clinical (7,8,14-19) and animal (20-23) studies of mini-implants, doubts remain regarding optimal placement locations and techniques, appropriate force levels, the need for a healing period (vs immediate loading), and the effects of patient age.

Motoyoshi et al. (6) suggested that orthodontic mini-implants require a latent period to increase the success rate in adolescent patients; however, they did not perform
Three male Wistar rats were obtained at 6, 8, 12, 14, 20, 22, 26, and 28 weeks of age. We compared cortical bone thickness (BT), bone volume (BV), and bone density (BD) in young and mature rats.

According to Olivé et al. (20), Inaba et al. (24), and Uemura et al. (25), the mobility test is an objective and straightforward method of evaluating the success of implantation. In particular, mobility is thought to be an appropriate index of primary implant stability, as a previous study (24) found a strong correlation between the implant-bone contact ratio and an index of mobility.

We therefore used mobility measurements to assess the stability of mini-implants and evaluate the feasibility of immediate mini-implant loading in adolescent patients. Specifically, we examined differences in the stability of mini-implants according to age and healing period in immature and mature rats. In addition, computed tomography (CT) was used to evaluate bone growth and maturation in the rats.

**Materials and Methods**

First, a pilot study using microfocus CT (mCT; Rigaku, Tokyo, Japan) was performed. CT images of tibiae from three male Wistar rats were obtained at 6, 8, 12, 14, 20, 22, 26, and 28 weeks of age. We compared cortical bone thickness (BT), bone volume (BV), and bone density (BD) in young and mature rats.

BT, BV, and BD values showed a tendency to increase until 20 weeks of age, when they were highest. We estimated that a 6-week-old rat corresponded to an approximately 10-year-old human, and a 20-week-old rat to an approximately 20-year-old human. Therefore, we used 6- and 20-week-old rats in this research. In addition, because BT, BV, and BD values did not differ between the right and left tibiae, the right tibia of each rat served as the test limb and the left tibia as the control limb.

In total, 32 male Wistar rats were prepared in order to assess mini-implant stability: 16 young rats (age, 6 weeks; mean body weight, 180 ± 20 g) and 16 adult rats (age, 20 weeks; mean body weight, 500 ± 20 g). Mini-implants (n = 64; diameter = 1.4 mm [spearhead = 1.2 mm], length = 4.0 mm; Fig. 1) were placed into all rat tibiae, and a nickel titanium (Ni-Ti) coil spring was used to apply a 2-N traction force. The animals were divided into an immediate-loading group (immediate group) and a healing group, which was subjected to loading after a 6-week healing period. The immediate and healing
groups were each subdivided into two subgroups of young (6-week) and adult (20-week) rats. The left tibia of each rat was used as a control and was not exposed to any experimental force during the experimental period (Fig. 2).

All experiments were approved by the Animal Experimentation Committee of Nihon University School of Dentistry (AP10D018).

Surgical procedure and force application
After anesthesia with an intraperitoneal injection of sodium pentobarbital (Nembutal, 100 mg/kg body weight; Dainippon Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan), an incision was made along the tibial crest, and the surface of the tibia was exposed. A hole was then prepared at the point 5.0 mm inferior to the knee joint, in order to fix a closed Ni-Ti coil spring (Tomy International Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) for application of traction force (~2 N) for 2 weeks (Fig. 3). To prevent infection after surgery, tetracycline CMC paste (tetracycline hydrochloride paste; Showa Yakuhin Kako Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) was applied to the surgical site.

The young and adult immediate groups (n = 8 each) underwent experimental traction for 2 weeks immediately after placement. In the young and adult healing groups (n = 8 each), force was applied for 2 weeks after a 6-week healing period (Fig. 2), according to the protocol of Ohmae et al. (22). The right tibia of each rat was used as the test limb and the left tibia was used as the control limb, which was not exposed to any experimental force during the experimental period (2 or 8 weeks).

Measurement of mobility
At the end of the experiment, the rats were killed with pentobarbital, and the Ni-Ti coil springs were removed from the mini-implants. The bodies of all specimens, excluding the placement site, were covered with gypsum to facilitate measurement of mobility with a Periotest device (Siemens AG, Bensheim, Germany; Fig. 4), as detailed in our previous study (25). The tapping head of the Periotest device has a pressure-sensitive tip that records duration of contact with the implant. The looser the mini-implant, the longer the contact time and the higher the Periotest value (PTV). Conversely, stable mini-implants yield short contact times, which results in lower PTVs. Rigid fixation of the specimen is extremely important for the accuracy of Periotest measurements. Because the specimens were small and fixation was difficult, especially for specimens from young rats, portions not subjected to measurement were covered with gypsum. PTVs were determined for each mini-implant. Each measurement was repeated five times, and the average was used in the analysis. All measurements were performed by the same examiner, to eliminate inter-examiner error. Tukey’s test was used to compare PTVs among groups. The SPSS statistical software package (ver. 10.0 for Windows; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for all the analyses.

Histological examination
Calcein is a fluorescent label that binds to calcium and is incorporated into growing calcium carbonate crystals (26). Calcein labeling makes it possible to determine the onset time and location of osteogenesis. Two weeks before the rats were killed, they were given subcutaneous
injections of calcein (10 mg/kg; Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd., Osaka, Japan) to label the tissues for fluorescence microscopy (Keyence Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Labeling was performed twice, with a 1-week interval.

Results

Mobility of mini-implants

PTVs are shown in Fig. 5. In the test and control groups, PTVs were significantly higher in the young (6-week) immediate group than in the adult (20-week) immediate group (test: 2.02-fold, control: 1.76-fold; \( P < 0.05 \)), the young healing group (test: 4.33-fold, control: 3.56-fold; \( P < 0.05 \)), and the adult healing group (test: 2.17-fold, control: 1.98-fold; \( P < 0.05 \)). PTVs were significantly lower in the young healing group than in the adult immediate group (test: 0.47-fold, control: 0.49-fold; \( P < 0.05 \)). PTVs did not differ significantly between the test and control groups.

Fluorescence microscopic observation

Representative fluorescence microscopic images of the areas near the mini-implants are shown in Fig. 6. Calcein regions show new bone laid down near the mini-implant at 2 weeks before the rats were euthanized. As compared with the other test groups, greater calcein labeling (white arrows) was seen in the cortical bone in the young healing group (test group C). This was followed by formation of new bone on the mini-implant surface.

Discussion

The mobility test is an objective, straightforward method of evaluating implantation success (20,24,25). Uemura et al. (25) drilled pilot holes of various diameters in rat tibiae, inserted a mini-implant (1.2-mm diameter) in each hole, and applied an experimental traction force for 3 weeks. They used a Periotest device to assess all mini-implants before and after traction and found that mobility measurements were useful for predicting mini-implant outcomes. In addition, Inaba et al. (24) found a strong correlation between the implant-bone contact ratio and PTVs (\( r = 0.590, P < 0.05 \)). Thus, PTVs are an appropriate index of primary implant stability. Inserting mini-implants into the jaw bone of 6-week-old rats is difficult. Although the patterns of bone formation differ between the jaw bone and tibial bone, a method involving the use of tibial bone was developed, and its reliability and stability were confirmed (5,25). We thus used tibial bones rather than jaw bones in the present study.

Previous reports (27-30) described insertion of implants in growing animals. Odman et al. (27) placed osseointegrated implants in six growing pigs in areas where different patterns of dentoalveolar development were expected. In their experimental model, total fixture loss was observed in 6 of 20 originally installed implants. Asscherickx et al. (29) inserted mini-implants in the...
median palatal sutures of growing dogs. On day 42, three of four posterior palatal implants and one of four anterior palatal implants were lost. The results of the present study support the findings of Odman et al. (27) and Asscherickx et al. (29), who reported a low success rate in growing animals. PTVs were lower in the present groups with a fixed healing period than in the young immediate group, and the 6-week healing period improved mini-implant stability.

In a clinical study, Miyawaki et al. (7) inserted mini-implants in 51 patients with a mean age of 21.8 ± 1.8 years. They found a slightly lower success rate in patients younger than 20 years (80%) than in those older than 20 years (85–88%), but the difference was not significant. Garfinkle et al. (10) placed mini-implants in the buccal alveolar bone of 13 patients (eight females, five males; average age, 14 years 10 months). They reported no significant difference between the success rates of immediately-loaded mini-implants (80.0%) and those for which loading was delayed (81.0%). However, the combined success rate for loaded mini-implants (80.5%) was significantly higher than that for unloaded mini-implants (61.0%). In the present study, PTVs did not significantly differ between mini-implants subjected to a traction force (test group) and those that were not (control group). It may be that traction force applied within a certain range (~2 N) has little impact on mini-implant stability. The difference between the results of this study and those reported by Miyawaki et al. (7) and Garfinkle et al. (10) could be due to differences in specimen materials and age distribution.

Motoyoshi et al. (6) placed mini-implants in 30 adolescent and 27 adult orthodontic patients. The success rate was 63.8% in early-loaded adolescents, 97.2% in late-loaded adolescents, and 91.9% in adults. These results indicate that the healing period improved mini-implant stability, thereby increasing the success rate. However, these studies examined mini-implant survival rate without directly evaluating mobility. In the present study, PTVs were evaluated to examine mini-implant stability. The PTV was significantly higher (P < 0.05) in the young immediate group than in the other groups. However, the PTV of the young healing group was significantly improved (P < 0.05). Furthermore, the PTV was significantly lower (P < 0.05) in the young healing group (4.2 ± 1.9) than in the adult immediate group (8.4 ± 2.4). Our PTV measurements are consistent with the results of Motoyoshi et al. (6).

Fluorescent microscopic images are also useful for confirming bone formation in the area surrounding implants (22,23,30-32). Deguchi et al. (23) used fluorescent and polarized microscopic to evaluate growing dogs and found that mini-implants were able to function as rigid osseous anchors during orthodontic loading for 3 months. In our microscopic examination, identification of new bone in the images was difficult; however, active bone formation surrounding mini-implants was likely present in the young healing group.

In both the present and previous studies (23,33), PTV was significantly lower (P < 0.05) in growing rats allowed a 6-week healing period. This reduction may have been caused by bone formation during growth, as active bone formation might increase mini-implant stability. It is thought that the amount of bone growth is greatest at about age 5-12 years in humans and that bone growth becomes more gradual after about age 20 years. In our comparison of the ages of rats and humans, we estimated that a 6-week-old rat corresponds to an approximately 10-year-old human and that a 20-week-old rat corresponds to an approximately 20-year-old human.

The PTV was significantly higher (P < 0.05) in the young immediate group than in the young healing group. Thus, in growing rats of the same age mini-implants were more stable after a healing period than with immediate loading. This improvement in stability is probably related to bone maturation due to growth and bone healing.

In the present study, PTVs were significantly higher in the young immediate group than in the other groups. In contrast, PTVs were significantly lower in the young healing group than in the adult immediate group. In adolescent patients, mini-implants may be useful as orthodontic anchors but should be placed before the start of orthodontic treatment, to provide a healing period of several months. The healing period in humans and animals is probably not comparable, but our data provide preliminary clinical evidence of the importance of such a period. These results may be useful in developing clinical indices for the timing of orthodontic mini-implant placement in adolescents.
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